





Evaluation of direct and indirect anthropic effects over riparian vegetation zonation in several stretches of Mediterranean rivers in Spain



Alicia García Arias (algarar2@posgrado.upv.es)



Evaluation of direct and indirect anthropic effects over riparian vegetation zonation in several stretches of Mediterranean rivers in Spain

OUTLINE

- 1. Introduction
- 2. RibAV model calibration
 - 2.1. Calibration in disturbed flow regime
 - 2.2. Default vegetation parameters
- 3. RibAV model validation
 - 3.1. Validation in natural flow regime
 - 3.2. Validation in disturbed flow regime
 - 3.3. Versatility of the model
- 4. Cases of study
 - 4.1. Climatic change scenarios
 - 4.2. Flow regulation scenarios
- 5. The QBR index
- 6. Results
- 7. Conclusions





1. Introduction

The Júcar River Basin District is one of the most important in the Mediterranean region of Spain

- scarce water resources
- high water demand: urban (20%), agricultural (80%)
- tight balance between available water resources and demands (3,200 hm3/year)
- half of the hydrologic available resources are extracted from groundwater
- surface reservoirs: regulation near to 1,200 hm3/year







2. RibAV model calibration

- A sensitivity analysis determined that the most relevant model parameters were:
 - Zr: maximum root depth (m)
 - Ze: effective root depth (m)
 - Zsat: saturation extinction depth (m)
 - Rj: transpiration factor from the saturated zone ()
 - Ri: transpiration factor from the unsaturated zone ()
- The model has been calibrated and validated using as objective function a confusion matrix:

The confusion matrix compares the observed and the simulated riparian vegetation zonation



• The Cohen's k test (Cohen, 1960) $\rightarrow k$, coefficient of agreement for nominal variables



2. Calibration

2.1. Calibration in disturbed flow regime

- Stretch: Lorcha (Serpis River)
- All vegetation functional types observed in field
- 431 simulation points
- 36 simulations required

BALANCE	Simulados	Observados	% Acientos
RH	25	70	35.71%
RJ	2	5	40.00%
RA	17	18	94.44%
ΤV	110	125	88.00%
RH+RJ	8	20	40.00%
RH+RA	12	17	70.59%
RJ+RA	31	84	36.90%
RA+TV	92	92	100.00%

	[SIMU	LADOS					
		RH	RJ	RA	TV	RH+RJ	RH+RA	RJ+RA	RA+TV	Total	
	RH	25	3	39	3	- 1	1941	-	-	70	
	RJ	0	2	1	2	ŝ.		2	<u></u>	5	
SO	RA	0	0	17	1		()	=	-	18	6
VAD	τv	0	0	15	110	-	194	-	÷.,	125	
Щ	RH+RJ	3	5	5	7	8	-	-		20	
ÖB	RH+RA	0	1	12	4		12	e		17	
	RJ+RA	2	2	29	51		194	31	. ¥	84	
	RA+TV	0	0	51	41		I	-	92	92	
	Total únicas	25	5	72	116	8	12	31	92	431	Tot o
	Total todas	30	13	169	219					431	Tot s

				Ze	Zsat	Ri	Rj	Cov	CRT	Pwp	Pcrit
Riparian <i>vs</i> terrestrial	Parameter		Maximum Root Depth [m]	Effective Root Depth [m]	Extinction at Saturation [m]	Transpiration Factor from Uns aturated Zone []	Transpiration Factor from Saturated Zone []	Vegetation density []	Maximum Soil- Root Water Conductance [mm/Mpa/h]	Wilting Point Matrix Potencial [Kpa]	Critical matrix potential [Kpa]
$k = 0.81 \pm 0.10$	Riparian Herbs	RH	0.8	0.7	-0.75	0.8	0.6	1	0.97	1500	500
(99% confidence limit)	Riparian Juveniles and small Schrubs	RJ	1.25	0.9	-0.1	0.9	0.35	0.8	0.97	1500	500
(,	Riparian adults Trees and Schrubs	RA	3.5	0.9	-0.3	0.9	0.35	1	0.97	1500	250
	Terrestrial Vegetation	τv	2	1.9	0.3	1	0	1	0.97	1500	95

11/05/2010





2.3. Default Vegetation Parameters

		Zr	Ze	Zsat	Ri	Rj	Cov	CRT	Pwp	Pcrit
Parameter		Maximum Root Depth [m]	Effective Root Depth [m]	Extinction at Saturation [m]	Transpiration Factor from Unsaturated Zone []	Transpiration Factor from Saturated Zone []	Vegetation density []	Maximum Soil- Root Water Conductance [mm/Mpa/h]	Wilting Point Matrix Potencial [Kpa]	Critical matrix potential [Kpa]
Riparian Herbs	RH	0.8	0.7	-0.75	0.8	0.6	1	0.97	1500	500
Riparian Juveniles and small Schrubs	RJ	1.25	0.9	-0.1	0.9	0.35	0.8	0.97	1500	500
Riparian adults Trees and Schrubs	RA	3.5	0.9	-0.3	0.9	0.35	1	0.97	1500	250
Terrestrial Vegetation	τν	2	1.9	0.3	1	0	1	0.97	1500	95

• *k* (disturbed flow regime) = 0.81 ± 0.10

0.40 < k < 0.60	ACCEPTABLE
0.60 < k < 0.80	GOOD
0.80 < k < 1.00	EXCELLENT



3.1. Validation in natural flow regime

Circleh Diver	Matching cases percentage		le .	Stratch features
Stretch - River	RIPARIAN	TERRESTIAL	ĸ	Stretch features
Rabo del Batán – Cabriel	93.04 %	20.69 %	0.69 ± 0.13	Forest stretch, natural flow
Terde – Mijares	89.15 %	70.83 %	0.69 ± 0.13	Forest stretch, natural flow

3.2. Validation in disturbed flow regime

Stratah Divar	Matching case	es percentage	le .	Stretch feeturee	
Stretch - River	RIPARIAN	TERRESTIAL	ĸ	Stretch leatures	
Cirat – Mijares	29.41 %	Not observed	0.01 ± 0.40	Agricultural, regulated flow	
Tormo – Mijares	75.67 %	Not observed	0.40 ± 0.45	Forest stretch, regulated flow	

3.2. Versatility of the model

- Agricultural influence introduces high uncertainty in flow data
- The number of simulation points must be high to obtain a representative k value
- The k value should be interpreted with caution if there is absence of any vegetation functional types

Stretch - River	Matchi perc	k	
	RIPARIAN	TERRESTIAL	
Combination	86.50 %	56.44 %	0.74 ± 0.07



4. Cases of study

4.1. Climatic change scenarios

Meteorological scenarios

(Reference period:	1960 – 1990)
HadCM2-INM (IS92):	2010 – 2040 2040 – 2070
	2040 – 2070 2070 – 2100
(SRES A2 SRES B2)	2070 - 2100





Meteorological scenarios in Terde and Rabo del Batán stretches





4.1. Climatic change scenarios

• Hydrological scenarios (Reference period: 1960 – 1990)

HadCM2-INM (IS92): 2010 - 2040 2040 - 2070 2070 - 2100 HadCM3-PROMES (SRES A2, SRES B2): 2070 - 2100





Hydrological scenarios in Terde and Rabo del Batán stretches

RIPFLOW Project - Klagenfurt Meeting





4.2. Flow regulation scenarios

Hydrological data series of Terde were modified in order to obtain several flow regulation scenarios:



Agricultural demands (20 scenarios: 0.02 – 714.87% mean flow) – monthly variability Urban minimum demands 10.000 – 2.500.000 hab. (9 scenarios: 2.46 – 616.97 % mean flow) – seasonal var. Urban average demands 10.000 – 2.500.000 hab. (9 scenarios: 4.67 – 925.47 % mean flow) – seasonal var. Urban maximum demands 10.000 – 2.500.000 hab. (9 scenarios: 8.78 – 1165.41 % mean flow) – seasonal var. Hydroelectric demands (20 scenarios: 29.17 – 583.43 % mean flow) – constant over the year

The initial volumes of the dams were established iteratively by the mean volume at that specific day of the year, for each dam capacity and demand scenario

V 20% V 40%

V 60%

V 80% V 100%



5. The QBR index of riparian quality (Munné et al., 2003)

- Based on four components of riparian habitat: total riparian vegetation cover, cover structure, cover quality and channel alterations
- Is possible with RibAV results to analyze variations of this index over the different scenarios concerning:
 - Total riparian vegetation cover: number of riparian simulated points (RA, RJ and RH) over terrestrial ones (TV)
 - Cover structure: number of RA simulated points respect the total riparian ones (modified by the number of RJ and RH simulated in the points adjacent to the channel)
- Cover quality and channel alterations must be assumed constant



6.1. Climatic change scenarios

Terde (Mijares river):

 No changes in riparian vegetation are observed in HadCM2-INM scenarios

 HadCM3-PROMES A2 and B2 scenarios → TV is simulated in traditional riparian zones (inc. 5 -27.65%), RA presence increases slightly (4.7%)











6.1. Climatic change scenarios

Rabo del Batán I (Cabriel river):

•Tendency shows that TV would be favored during the century, more in the ending years and specially in the most pessimist scenarios (inc. 4.35 – 10.71%)

•Riparian vegetation is expected to reduce the rates of RH (10.71 – 14.49%), increasing slightly RA (2.38 – 4.35%)











6.2. Dam regulation + Agricultural demand



50 45 40

RIPFLOW Project - Klagenfurt Meeting



6.2. Dam regulation + Agricultural demand











6.3. Dam regulation + Urban demand



RIPFLOW Project - Klagenfurt Meeting



6.3. Dam regulation + Urban demand





6.3. Dam regulation + Urban demand



QBR var. (Averaged demands)





6.3. Dam regulation + Urban demand







6.4. Dam regulation + hydroelectric demand





Legend

6.4. Dam regulation + hydroelectric demand









- Changes in Mediterranean semiarid hydrologic systems cause changes in river associated vegetation
- RibAV model is an useful tool for evaluating several anthropic impacts considering changes in hydrological regimes or changes in the climatic conditions
 - But some predictions should be qualified
- The QBR index is useful to determine riparian quality variations in different scenarios
 - But stretch QBR seems to be relatively insensitive
- Climatic change scenarios results show a greater presence of TV along the century and a reduction of riparian functional types
- Hydrologic regulation by dams (w/o water consumption) is not always unfavorable for riparian plants \rightarrow more analysis is needed and/or Ripflow v3





Agua y Medio Ambiente

ivra-net

Thank you for your attention







Alicia García Arias (algarar2@posgrado.upv.es)